

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/355108849>

Response to "Comments on the proposed conservation of *Lironeca* Leach, 1818 (Crustacea, Isopoda) as the correct original spelling"

Article in *The Bulletin of zoological nomenclature* · October 2021

CITATIONS

0

READS

10

2 authors:



Ernest H. Williams, Jr

University of Puerto Rico at Mayagüez (retired)

471 PUBLICATIONS 4,692 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Lucy Bunkley Williams

University of Puerto Rico at Mayagüez

342 PUBLICATIONS 3,358 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Life cycle and life history strategies of parasitic Crustacea [View project](#)



Study of Stinkhorns [View project](#)

RESPONSE TO "COMMENTS ON THE PROPOSED CONSERVATION OF *LIRONECA*
LEACH, 1818 (CRUSTACEA, ISOPODA) AS THE CORRECT ORIGINAL SPELLING"
(CASE 2915 SEE BZN 51: 224-226, 52: 67-69)

Ernest H. Williams, Jr. and Lucy Bunkley-Williams
Caribbean Aquatic Animal Health Project
Department of Marine Sciences
University of Puerto Rico
P.O. Box 908
Lajas, Puerto Rico 00667-0980

We agree with Dr. Bowman's response that none of those commenting on the Williams and Bowman proposal contradicts or even addresses the principal argument. Their (Drs. Bruce, Brandt & Holthuis) comments and opinions are of interest in providing additional background information and history of the problem, but do nothing to refute the proposal of the application.

Since they have introduced auxiliary issues, we will state a primary point even though it is technically irrelevant. The *Lironeca* spelling would have the positive

effect of preserving the intent of the original author (which is beyond dispute see BZN 51: 224, para. 4), while the *Livoneca* spelling would have the negative effect of promoting a misspelling or misprint. We trust the ruling will preserve reality with *Lironeca*, not the surrealism of the *Livoneca* spelling.