

Preprints are preliminary reports that have not undergone peer review. They should not be considered conclusive, used to inform clinical practice, or referenced by the media as validated information.

# New insights into Oniscidea (Crustacea: Isopoda) mitogenome structural features and phylogenetic placement of targeted taxa using mitogenomic and nuclear data

Lina Yang Shanxi Normal University Ruru Chen Shanxi Normal University Rui Zhang Shanxi Normal University Andreas C. Dimitriou Department of Biological Sciences, University of Cyprus, Aglantzia, Nicosia, Cyprus Jianmei An (ĭ anjianmei@hotmail.com) Shanxi Normal University

#### **Research Article**

Keywords: Isopoda, Oniscidea, Phylogeny, mitochondrial genome, monophyly

#### DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-25479/v2

License: 🐵 🕦 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

# Abstract

Among Isopoda suborders the Oniscidea has the highest species richness, and is also the largest terrestrial group in the Crustacea. Terrestrial isopods are an excellent case to study adaptations related to sea-land transition. However, the monophyly of Oniscidea and the relationships of the main lineages has been debated over the last three decades. Aiming to further explore structural features of mitochondrial genome and investigate the phylogenetic relationships within Oniscidea, the mitogenomes and a series of nuclear markers of the oniscids *Ligia exotica* and *Mongoloniscus sinensis* were sequenced. The nuclear genome was represented by four nuclear genes analyzed in a separate dataset. The mitogenomes of *L. exotica* and *M. sinensis* were 16,018 and 14,978 bp in length, respectively. Both included 13 protein-coding genes, 2 rRNA genes, and 21 and 19 tRNA genes respectively, missing one and three tRNA genes respectively compared to the isopod ground pattern. The *M. sinensis* mitogenome had higher average A+T content (~75.3%) than any other isopod studied to date. Phylogenetic analyses confirmed the assignment of *M. sinesis* to Agnaridae, as well as the sister-group relationship of the family with Porcellionidae, one of the more derived Crinochaeta clades. On the other hand, the basal position of *Ligia* within Oniscidea and the close evolutionary relationship with the aquatic groups Valvifera, Shaperomatida and some Cymothoidea that were included in our analysis, is indicated.

## Introduction

The Isopoda, with more than 10,300 described species, is the largest order within the Peracarida, and includes an amazing diversity in morphology and ecology [1,2]. Isopods are diverse in the ocean, land, and freshwater, include free-living to parasitic taxa, and range from the deep oceans to at least 4,700m above sea level. Currently, the Isopoda is divided into 11 suborders, with Oniscidea being the most speciose suborder consisted of 39 families, 523 genera and more than 3700 species [3-5]. From an ecological point of view, although Oniscidea can be found across most terrestrial biomes, from nearshore marine habitats to moist forests and arid deserts, they exhibit a strong preference for humid micro-habitats [5]. These phytosaprophagous animals are among the most important decomposers [6]. The suborder is currently separated into five lineages: Diplocheta, Tylida, Microcheta, Synocheta and Crinocheta [7-9]. The most basal members of the group, in the families Ligiidae, Ligidiidae, and Tylidae are amphibious and restricted to littoral marine habitats [10]. *Ligia* Fabricius 1798 is of particular interest as it is considered to be an intermediate form with morphological, physiological and behavioral characteristics that exhibit similarities with marine ancestors and fully terrestrial Oniscidea [8,11]. Schmidt (2008) in a detailed morpho-phylogenetic relationship of Ligiidae with the rest of Oniscidea and aquatic relatives is essential in our effort to understand the evolutionary steps towards Isopods terrestrialization.

Although a series of synapomorphies have been proposed to support the monophyly of the group [7,8,10,12,13], molecular phylogenetic analyses have questioned the common origin of all Oniscidea and also recovered varied topologies for the relationship of oniscids to other isopods [9,14-23]. Recently, in a study based on four highly conserved nuclear genes Dimitriou et al. (2019) failed to recover a monophyletic Oniscidea, with *Ligia* recovered with valviferan and sphaeromatid isopods.

Extremely high genetic distances in both nuclear and mitochondrial genome reaching up to 50.3% between confamiliar genera and 20.3% between individuals of the same species were identified [24,25]. These results highlight the vivid divergence between even closely related taxa indicating the need of phylogenetic assessment using genetic data where possible.

Dataset of mitochondrial sequences is widely used to infer phylogenetic relationships and resolve taxonomic debates [26-38].

Isopods mitogenomes exhibit substantial diversity and unusual structures with unique linear/circular organization in some oniscids and inverted GC skew in cymothoids [39,40]. The majority of isopods studied exhibit an inversed strand asymmetry (positive GC skew), while a negative GC skew in some is attributed to double-inversion [22,23]. So far mitogenomic data are currently available for only 10 Oniscidea, including one species of *Ligia* (Table 1). The phylogenetic placement of this taxon is crucial to determine whether terrestrial isopods invaded in land once in the past, consisting a monophyletic group, or more times.

Table 1. All known mitogenomes of suborder Oniscidea.

Species	Genebank acession number	Mt genome Length Ibpi	Entire genome number	Protein- coding gene Length(bp)	Protein- coding gene number	tRNAs number	Miss tRNA	Complete or Partial mitogenomes	Refere or N Data author	
Mongoloniscus sinensis	MG709492	16018	34	11088	13	19	TrnA,trnE,trnL1	Complete	This st	
Ligia exotica	MK028672	14978	36	11113	13	21	TrnG	Complete	This st	
Ligia oceanica	DQ442914	15289	36	11064	13	21	TrnR	Complete	Kilpert	
Armadillidium vulgare	EF643519	13939	28	10291	12(Miss nad2)	12	TrnR,trnE,trnI,trnL1,trnS1,trnL2,trnK,trnN,trnA	Partial	al. (20) Marca et (2007)	
Cylisticus convexus	KR013002	14154	33	11041	13	18	TrnG,trnV,trnF,trnE(trnC repetition)	Partial	Chand et (2015)	
Trachelipus rathkii	KR013001	14129	29	10776	13	14	TrnA,trnL2,trnY,trnK,trnE,trnS1,trnT,trnQ	Partial	Chand et (2015)	
Armadillidium album	KX289585	13812	29	11187	13	15	Trna,trnI,trnW,trnR,trnH,trnE,trnS1,trnL1,16S (trnK repetition)	Partial	Marca et al	
Armadillidium nasatum	MF187611	13943	34	10846	12(Miss atp8)	20	TrnA,trnI,trnR,trnK,trnE,trnQ(trnD,trnW,trnF repetition,three TrnL)	Partial	Peccou et (2017)	
Oniscus asellus	KX289581	13515	29	7310	13	15	trnV,trnI,trnW,trnR,trnE,trnL2,trnS2,16S,trnL1	Partial	Marca et al	
Porcellionides pruinosus	KX289584	14078	31	11696	13	16	trnG,trnV,trnL2,trnE,trnS2,16s	Partial	Marca et al	
Procellio dilatatus petiti	KX289583	13343	30	10304	13	15	trnG,trnA,trnL2,trnW,trnE,trnS2	Partial	Marca et al	
Procellio dilatatus dilatatus	KX289582	14103	31	11235	13	17	trnG,trnA,trnL2,trnE,trnS2,12S	Partial	Marca et al	

Aiming to further explore oniscid mitogenome characters and investigate the phylogenetic relationships within the group and between terrestrial isopods and aquatic relatives, the complete mitogenomes of *L. exotica* and *M. sinensis* were sequenced. Furthermore, we also sequenced 18s, 28s ribosomal RNA genes, protein-coding Sodium-Potassium Pump (NAK) and Phosphoenolpyruvate Carboxykinase (PEPCK) genes of *M. sinensis* and *Ligia cinerascens* to supplement the assignment based on mitogenome dataset. Mitogenome and nuclear data were kept separately taking into account the limited overlap in the taxa and the fact that they originate from organelles under different evolutionary pressure.

# **Materials And Methods**

#### 2.1 Sampling

Specimens of the endemic to China *Mongoloniscus sinensis* (Dollfus, 1901) were collected from Tao village in Yantai, Shandong province (37°28.8' N, 121°27.6' E), while congeneric *Ligia exotica* Roux, 1828 and *Ligia cinerascens* Budd-Lunde, 1885 were collected from Qingdao, Shandong province (36°18' N, 120°06' E) and Huludao, Liaoning province (40°44' N, 120°58' E) respectively. All collected specimens were kept in ethanol (>99.7%) until DNA extraction and vouchers deposited in the collections of the Shanxi Normal University.

#### 2.2 DNA extraction, gene annotation and sequence analysis

Total genomic DNA was extracted from the pereopods/pleopods of specimens using the gDNA rapid extraction kit (Aidlab Biotechnologies Co., Ltd) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Libraries were constructed using Whole Genome Shotgun (WGS) strategies with libraries sequenced using paired-end (PE) approaches on the Illumina MiSeq sequencing platform.

Raw data quality was tested using the Read Quality Inspection Tool FastQC (http://www.bioinformatics.ac.uk/projects/fastqc). High-quality NGS data were assembled with A5-miseq v20150522 and SPAdesv3.9.0 to construct contigs and scaffold sequences. The complete mitochondrial sequences were identified and annotated by BLAST v2.2.31, Mummer v3.1 and Pilon v1.18. The complete mitochondrial sequences (*M. sinensis* and *L. exotica*) were annotated using the MITOS webserver [41]. The secondary structure of tRNAs were identified using tRNAscan-SE v1.21 [42] and ARWEN v1.2.3.c [43]. The complete genome sequences have been submitted to NCBI (GenBank acc. no.: MG709492 and MK028672).

#### 2.3 Nuclear DNA primer design, LA-PCR amplification and sequencing

Primers targeting the 18s rRNA, 28s rRNA, Potassium Pump (NAK) and Phosphoenolpyruvate Carboxykinase (PEPCK) genes were designed according available sequences of closely related species (S1 Table). PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation 94°C for 2 min, then 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 1 min/kb, followed by the final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The total volume for PCR and LA-PCR was 50 µl, of which Takara LATaq (5 U/µl) was 0.5 µl, 10×LATaq Buffer II (Mg2+) was 5 µl, dNTP mixture (2.5 mM) was 8 µl, template was 60 ng,

and the total volume was reached with distilled water. The final concentration of the forward and reverse primers was 0.2~1.0 µM, and that of MgCl2 was 2.0 mM. The PCR products were sequenced directly, or if needed first cloned into a pMD18-T vector (Takara, JAP) and then sequenced, by the dideoxynucleotide procedure, using an ABI 3730 automatic sequencer (Sanger sequencing) using the same set of primers.

#### 2.4 Phylogenetic analysis

Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using concatenated amino acid sequences of all 13 protein-coding genes (PCGs) from 2 new sequenced and 28 currently available isopod mitogenomes from GenBank. Amino acid sequences were aligned using MAFFT in batch mode under L-INS-i strategy [44,45]. Aligned loci were concatenated with PhyloSuite. Bayesian analysis using the probabilistic CAT-GTR model was implemented in PhyloBayes-MPI 1.7a through CIPRES server [46]. The analysis run using default parameters until maxdiff < 0.1 and minimum effective size > 300 were reached.

The nuclear genes dataset was further enriched with species *Platorchestia japonica* (Tattersall, 1922), *Gammarus troglophilus* Hubricht & Mackins, 1940 and *Sinogammarus chuanhui* Hou & Li, 2002 included to serve as outgroup.

Multiple sequence alignments were performed using MAFFT wih Q-INS-i algorithm for not-coding 18s rRNA and 28s rRNA and '–auto' option for NAK and PEPCK. Ambiguously or poorly aligned regions were removed using Gblocks allowing smaller final blocks, less strict flanking positions and presence of gaps at the final alignment [47,48]. The best-fit partitioning scheme and evolutionary model for each partition were selected with PartitionFinder2 under BIC criterion [49]. The final concatenated dataset set was partitioned by gene into four distinct data blocks. Bayesian inference analysis (BI) was implemented using MrBayes v.3.2.6 [50], with the selected model of nucleotide evolution for each gene, allowing rate heterogeneity between partitions.

### **Results**

#### 3.1 Complete mitochondrial genomes

The complete mitochondrial genome of *M. sinensis* and *L. exotica* are circular, double-stranded DNA molecules, 16,018 and 14,978 bp in length, respectively. The *M. sinensis* mitogenome is comprised of 13 protein-coding genes, 2 rRNA genes and 19 tRNAs, with trnA, trnE and trnL1 are missing. In addition, 18 overlapping regions and 13 intergenic regions were identified (Table 2). The average A+T content of the *M. sinensis* mt genome is approximately 75.3% (S2 Table), higher than any other known isopod mitogenomes (range: 54.40-71.20). The mitogenome of *L. exotica* is composed of 13 PCGs, 2 rRNA genes and 21 tRNA genes, only lacking the trnG gene. In total 17 overlapping regions and 14 intergenic regions were detected (Table 3). The average A+T content is approximately 59.1% (S2 Table). The PCG size and start/stop codons of both species is typical of other isopod mitogenomes (Tables 2 and 3). Consistent with the inversed strand asymmetry shown in most isopods, GC skews of the mitochondrial genomes of 12 examined Oniscidea was positive (S2 Table).

Table 2. Gene content of the	Mongoloniscus sinensis	mitochondrial genome.

Feature	Strand <sup>a</sup>	Position	Length (bp)	Initiation Code	on Stop Codon	Anticodon	Intergenic nucleotide
cob	-	1-1,107	1,107	ATG	TAA	_	64
nad5	+	1,172-2,884	1,713	ATT	TAA	_	*
trnF	+	2,885-2,952	68	_	_	GAA	-33 <sup>b</sup>
trnH	-	2,920-2,984	65	_	_	GTG	5
nad4	-	2,990-4,333	1,344	ATG	TAA	_	*
nad41	-	4,334-4,612	279	ATT	TAA	_	-5
trnP	-	4,608-4,665	58	_	_	TGG	-4
nad6	+	4,662-5,153	492	ATT	TAA	_	-2
trnS2	+	5,152-5,202	51	_	_	TGA	-9
rrnL	-	5,194-6,336	1,143	_	_	_	21
trnQ	-	6,358-6,424	67	_	_	TTG	47
trnM	+	6,472-6,529	58	_	_	CAT	-16
nad2	+	6,514-7,518	1,005	ATG	TAG	_	-10
trnC	-	7,509-7,562	54		_	GCA	-18
trnY	-	7,545-7,600	56	_	_	GTA	4
cox1	+	7,605-9,140	1,536	ATG	TAA	_	1
trnL2	+	9,142-9,208	67	_	_	TAA	-10
cox2	+	9,199-9,870	672	ATC	TAA	_	-2
trnK	+	9,869-9,926	58	_	_	TTT	-13
trnD	+	9,914-9,975	62	_	_	GTC	-8
atp8	+	9,968-10,123	156	ATT	TAA	_	-13
atp6		10,111-10,785		ATG	TAA	_	*
cox3		10,786-11,613		ATG	TAA	_	-41
trnR		11,573-11,633		_	_	TCG	21
nad3		11,655-12,011		ATT	TAA	_	-41
trnV	+	11,971-12,025	55	_	_	TAC	-9
nad1	-	12,017-12,940	924	ATA	TAA	_	1
trnN	+	12,942-13,008	67	_	_	GTT	-2
rrnS	+	13,007-13,723	717	_	_	-	-17
trnI	+	13,707-13,768		_	_	TCA	4
trnW	+	13,773-13,826		_	_	TCA	292
trnG		14,119-14,176		_	_	TCC	485
trnT		14,662-14,725		_	_	TGT	879
trnS1	+	15,605-15,677	73	-	_	TCT	341

\*Gene borders are defined based on borders with adjacent genes.

#### Table 3. Gene content of the Ligia exotica mitochondrial genome.

Feature	Strand®	Position 1	Length (bp)	Initiation Codo	n Stop Codon	n Anticodon I	ntergenic nucleotide
trnE	+	663-724	62	-	-	TTC	_
trnS1	+	725-787	63	_	-	TCT	17
cob	-	805-1,938	1,134	ATA	TAA	_	*
trnT	-	1,939-1,997	59	_	_	TGT	-8 <sup>b</sup>
nad5	+	1,990-3,717	1,728	ATT	TAG	_	-8
trnF	+	3,710-3,768	59	_	-	GAA	-2
trnH	-	3,767-3,828	62	_	_	GTG	*
nad4	-	3,829-5,158	1,330	ATG	Т	_	-7
nad41	-	5,152-5,448	297	ATA	TAA	_	6
trnP	-	5,455-5,516	62	_	-	TGG	1
nad6	+	5,518-6,024	507	ATT	TAG	_	-2
trnS2	+	6,023-6,084	62	_	-	TGA	*
rrnL	-	6,085-7,268	1,184	_	_	_	-7
trnV	-	7,262-7,320	59	_	_	TAC	2
trnQ	-	7,323-7,377	55	_	_	TTG	4
trnM	+	7,382-7,445	64	_	_	CAT	-21
nad2	+	7,425-8,441	1,017	ATG	TAG	_	-15
trnC	-	8,427-8,479	53	_	_	GCA	-1
trnY	-	8,479-8,540	62	_	-	GTA	6
cox1	+	8,547-10,079	1,533	CGA	TAA	_	-5
trnL2	+	10,075-10,136	62	_	-	TAA	*
cox2	+	10,137-10,820	684	ATA	TAG	_	-2
trnK	+	10,819-10,880	62	_	-	TTT	-2
trnD	+	10,879-10,938	60	_	-	GTC	9
atp8	+	10,948-11,097	150	ATA	TAA	_	-7
atp6	+	11,091-11,762	672	ATG	TAA	_	-1
cox3	+	11,762-12,565	804	ATG	TAA	_	-17
trnR	+	12,549-12,608	60	_	-	TCG	9
nad3	+	12,618-12,962	345	ATT	TAG	_	-2
trnA	+	12,961-13,021	61	_	_	GCA	24
nad1	-	13,046-13,957	912	ATC	TTA	_	18
trnL1	-	13,976-14,035	60	_	_	TAG	-4
trnN	+	14,032-14094	63	_	_	GTT	1
rrns	+	14,096-14,794	699	_	_	_	2
trnI	+	14,797-14,860	64	_	_	GAT	14
trnW	+	14,875-14,938	64	_	_	TCA	39

\*Gene borders are defined based on borders with adjacent genes.

<sup>a</sup>Plus strand (+)/minus strand (–).

<sup>b</sup>Negatve values represent overlapping nucleotdes.

#### 3.2 Transfer RNA genes

Nineteen tRNAs were found in *M. sinensis*, ranging from 51 bp (trnS2) to 73 bp in size (trnS1), totaling 1158 bp. In *L. exotica*, 21 tRNAs were identified, ranging from 53 bp (trnC) to 64 bp in size (trnI, trnM, trnW), summing up to 1278 bp. Transfer RNA genes are distributed throughout the mitogenome and found on both strands. The proposed secondary structures of all identified tRNAs are shown in Figs 1 and 2. The majority of tRNAs have a common t-shaped or clover-leaf secondary structure. In *M. sinensis* exceptions include the absence of DHU-arm in trnC, and TΨC-arm in trnG, trnK, trnP, trnS2, trnW and trnV. In *L. exotica* exceptions are the absence of DHU-arm in trnF, trnP, trnP, trnP, trnR.

#### 3.3 Gene translocations of mitogenomes

The comparison of mitogenomes of *M. sinensis* and *L. exotica* with the known ground pattern of isopods revealed four and three gene rearrangements respectively (Fig 3). In *M. sinensis* i) trnR is located between cox3 and nad3 instead of trnA and nad1 where it is usually found; ii) trnV is between nad3 and nad1, instead of between 16s rRNA and trnQ, iii) trnG is between trnW and trnT, instead of between cox3 and nad3 and iv) trnT is located between trnG and trnS1, instead of between cob and nad5. Similarly, in *L. exotica*, trnR was translocated between cox3 and nad3, while trnW and trnE are interchanged. Compared with the known found pattern of isopods, both *M. sinensis* and *L. exotica* have two and one gene missing respectively. In *M. sinensis* i) trnA is missing instead of between nad3 and trnR; ii) trnL1 is missing instead of between nad1 and trnN. In *L. exotica*, trnG is missing instead of between cox3 and nad3.Gene translocations as well as loss of tRNA genes are well known in isopod mitogenomes. Some tRNA genes are missing in every oniscids studied to the missing tRNA genes.

#### 3.4 Phylogenetic analyses

#### 3.4.1 Amino Acids Dataset (13PCGs)

Phylogenetic reconstruction based on the concatenated amino acid sequences of all 13 PCGs recovered a statistically well supported monophyletic Oniscidea clade, with Ligiidae in basal position. *M. sinensis* was recovered as sister to a clade to Porcellionidae represented by *Porcellionides* and *Porcellio* (Fig 4).

#### 3.4.2 Nuclear Dataset (18s-28s-NAK-PEPCK)

The aligned data for the combined nuclear genes was 1719 base pairs (bp). The best substitution models were SYM+I+G for 18s, GTR+G for 28s, SYM+I+G for NAK, and GTR+I+G for PEPCK. The Oniscidea was recovered in two separate statistically well supported clades, with *Ligia* species in one, and the rest of oniscids in another including *Sphaeroma*. Although the basal phylogenetic relationships of the group are not fully resolved, the close evolutionary relationship of Oniscidea excluding *Ligia*, with *Sphaeroma* is statistically supported. *Typhloligidium* Verhoeff, 1918, *Ligidium* Brandt, 1833 and *Taurologidium* Borutzky, 1950 all members of the newly established family Ligidiidae are grouped together [9], Agnaridae, Platyarthridae, Trichoniscidae, Trachelipodidae and Porcellionidae were all recovered as polyphyletic. *Mongoloniscus sinensis* was sister to *Agnara madagascariensis* and in turn with to three species of *Hemilepistus*, but in a separate branch than *Protracheoniscus* aff. *fossuliger* the only other agnarid included.

## Discussion

#### 4.1 Characteristics of mitogenomes

The aim of this work was to determine the mitogenome structure of two Oniscidea species and exploit these data for the phylogenetic assignment of targeted species and possibly revisit phylogenetic relationships within Oniscidea and/or Isopoda. The mitogenomes of *L. exotica* and *M. sinensis* are circular double stranded, with incomplete or totally missing tRNA genes. A recently published work speculated that these tRNA gene fragments may actually be transcribed and edited into functional tRNAs, since their presence is common in isopods [23]. Structural rearrangements of these two species' mitogenomes may be explained by linearized and fragmented events [51].

Unfortunately, the pattern of specific genes loss and rearrangement, and the exact mechanism behind it, had not been found in the 12 known species of the suborder Oniscidea, possibly due to insufficient data. It is hoped that the pattern, exact mechanism and the meaningful information behind the pattern can be found in future further studies.

#### 4.2 Data Processing

Considering the confounding phylogenies resulting from different datasets based on nuclear or/and mitochondrial data [9,14,15,17,23], we compiled two different inclusive datasets in order to assess the patterns yielding from genetic loci with different evolutionary history. Herein we investigated the phylogenetic assignment of the targeted species within Oniscidea combining the results from separate analyses of genomic data from different organelles. Taking into account the long evolutionary history of the group, in order to avoid saturation events due to high mutation rates of mitogenomes, only PCGs were included in mitochondrial datasets analyses [52]. Furthermore, these loci were translated in AA sequences and run under a heterogeneous CAT-GTR model. In this way we avoided phylogenetic artifacts attributed to biases due to similar GC skews among distant taxa [22]. On the other hand, nuclear non-coding genes were treated with Gblocks in order to eliminate poorly aligned regions. With this treatment we excluded from our analyses DNA fragments accumulating mutations at higher rates, compared to their flanking regions, which could result in misleading phylogeneis.

#### 4.3 Phylogenetic relationships of Oniscidea

Phylogenetic relationships within Oniscidea and other isopod groups, even at high taxonomic scales, based on morphological characters or molecular data were repeatedly debated [9,15,53-55]. The monophyly of Oniscidea is well supported by morphological characters such as the complex water-conducting system and reduced first antenna with only three articles [8,12,13,15,56,57]. Although it was alternatively suggested as a possible homoplasy, the first antenna with rudimentary three articles has been regarded as a prominent synapomorphy for Oniscidea [8,12]. Furthermore, taking into account the existence of two types of water conducting systems (i.e "Ligia type" and "Porcellio type") [10], we could speculate that these two characters might not be attributed to the monophyletic origin of Oniscidea but to convergent evolution as a response to environment challenges [15].

#### 4.4 Phylogeny based on mitogenome dataset

Monophyly of Oniscidea have been questioned by several phylogenetic analyses including molecular data [9,14,22,23,58]. Solely based on mitochondrial data recently published works failed to support the monophyly of Oniscidea [20,21,51]. The monophyly of Oniscidea mainly relies on the phylogenetic origin of the key genera *Ligia, Ligidium, Tylos* and *Helleria* whose close evolutionary relationship with marine ancestors was repeatedly highlighted in the past [9,15,22]. Therefore, two species of the genus *Ligia* were added in this paper to explore the monophyly of the suborder Oniscidea. Particular focus was given in *Ligia*, as that genus have been proposed to represent an intermediate form in the evolution of terrestriality in isopods [11]. However, the phylogenetic placement of *Ligia* within Oniscidea was debated in the past [8,9].

According to our mitogenome analysis results, *Ligia* appears to form a statistically well supported monophyletic group with Crinocheta, the more evolutionary recent Oniscidea lineage whose representatives exhibiting some of the most pioneer adaptations to terrestrial life. These results are also supported by a series of well described Oniscidea synapomorphies [7,8]. Based on the same mitochondrial loci, running analyses under different evolutionary substitution models recently published works came up with different results [15,23]. In contrast to Lins et al. (2017) our results are in agreement with Zou et al. (2020) findings whose analysis was conducted under a CAT-GTR model (Fig 4).

Furthermore, our analysis revealed the close evolutionary relationship of Oniscidea with Sphaeromatidea, Valvifera and some Cymothoidea. Sphaeromatidae and Valvifera were also shown to be the more closely related marine taxa to terrestrial isopods by recently published works based on mitochondrial and nuclear data [9,23]. All Asellota members are grouped together with strong statistical support while Cymothoa although represented by a small number of species appear to be paraphyletic. Phreatoicidea should be considered as the more ancestral isopod lineage rooting the mitogenome tree.

#### 4.5 Nuclear markers Phylogeny

Although the mitochondrial genome data were mainly used in this paper to elucidate the phylogenetic relationship of Oniscidea, nuclear gene data were also used for supplement, as detailed in the appendix materials. The main differences between the nuclear dataset presented by Dimitriou et al. (2019) and the one analyzed herein, mainly enriched with outgroups, are located at the basis of the constructed phylogenetic tree and added a key genus species, *L. cinerascens*.

The basal position of Phreatoicidea as well as Asellota at Isopods phylogeny is also supported by data of nuclear origin. On the other hand, we failed to save the monophyly of Oniscidea as *Sphaeroma* appear to be more closely related to terrestrial isopods than *Ligia* (S3 Fig.) (Hence the monophyly of Diplocheta could not be saved as well. Numerus taxonomic revisions at lower taxonomic levels especially withing the more apical sister groups Crinocheta and Synochetawere proposed [5,24].

The familiar assignment of *Mongoloniscus* was debated in the past as it was proposed to be a member of both Agnaridae and Porcellionidae families [3,59]. Our results corroborate the assignment of *Mongoloniscus* to Agnaridae family as well as the close evolutionary relationship with Porcellionidae family. This relationship is also confirmed by a recently published study undermining the monophyly of Porcellionidae [24].

At family lever our results provide evidence against the monophyly of Agnaridae, Platyarthridae, Trachelipodidae, Porcellionidae and Trichoniscidae families (S3 Fig.). Based on morphological or/and genetic data previous studies came to the same results questioning also the monophyly of Scyphacidae, Philosciidae, Dubioniscidae, Trachelipodidae and Porcellionidae [8,9,17,24]. Aforementioned studies commended on the validity of traditionally used morphological characters for taxonomic purposes and shown the impact of the selected genetic loci on phylogenetic reconstructions.

## Conclusions

Present Oniscidea classification was determined by morphological characters which probably cannot adequately portray the evolutionary history of the group and its relation with aquatic relatives. This is highlighted by the numerus taxonomic revisions, even at high taxonomic levels, considering new morphological or/and molecular data.

Incongruent patterns were produced using different characters or combination of genetic loci. Herein, keeping separately the genetic data from different organelles, that are not under the same evolutionary pressure, we reached at different phylogenetic patterns even regarding the monophyly of Oniscidea. Previously published studies indicated the important influence of taxonomic sampling, outgroup selection, targeted loci and selected models of nucleotide evolution on constructed phylogeneis [9,15,23]. Analyses with deviations on any of these parameters could lead in different tree topologies, even between the basal Oniscidea clades, indicating alternative scenarios about the origin and terrestrialization history of the group. Even exploiting similar datasets depending on aforementioned factors we might conclude having different phylogenies [15,23].

Although recently there are growing evidence against the monophyly of Oniscidea [9,15] crucial aspects on taxon's terrestriallity history still remain to be explored. From the phylogenetic point of view, a more inclusive mitogenome dataset could give new insights related to the evolution of both the taxon and the lsopods special mitochondrial structure and organization. A combination of better representation of taxa and nuclear loci should also be applied for more robust and reliable results. In this direction next generation sequencing techniques (NGS) targeting the whole genome, in combination with bioinformatic progress on the development of new advanced tools are anticipated to take advantage of high throughput data and shed light in various facets of terrestrial isopods evolutionary history.

# Abbreviations

bp: base pair
DNA: Deoxyribonucleic acid
g DNA: genome Deoxyribonucleic acid *L.exotica: Ligia exotica M.sinensis: Mongoloniscus sinensis*NCBI: National Center of Biotechnology Information
NCR: non-coding regions
NGS: Next Generation Sequencing
PCGs: protein-coding genes

PE: paired-end

rRNA: ribosomal Ribonucleic Acid rrnL: 16s ribosomal Ribonucleic Acid rrns: 12s ribosomal Ribonucleic Acid RSCU: relative synonymous codon usage tRNA: Transfer Ribonucleic Acid WGS: Whole Genome Shotgun

## Declarations

# Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

# **Consent for publication**

Not applicable

# Availability of data and materials

Genetic data used in the present study are deposited at GenBank and publicly accessible through the provided accession numbers, and other relevant data are presented within paper and Suppl. Materials.

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

# Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31471970); Program of Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China (2015FY210300) and Natural Science Foundation of Shanxi Province (No. 201901D111274).

#### Authors' contributions

Conceptualization: Jianmei An.

Formal Analysis: Lina Yang, Ruru Chen, Andreas C. Dimitriou.

Investigation: Jianmei An.

Methodology: Ruru Chen, Lina Yang.

Project Administration: Jianmei An.

Resources: Jianmei An.

Supervision: Jianmei An.

Writing - original draft: Lina Yang, Rui Zhang.

Writing - review & editing: Jianmei An, Lina Yang, Andreas C. Dimitriou.

#### Acknowledgments

We are indebted to all collectors of the present specimens. Many thanks for the revision and helpful suggestion of Carlos A. Santamaria (Biology Program, College of Science and Mathematics, University of South Florida Sarasota-Manatee, Sarasota, FL 342430USA) and Prof. Gustav Paulay (Florida Museum of Natural History, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, 32611-7800, USA).

## References

1. Wilson GDF. Global diversity of Isopod crustaceans (Crustacea; Isopoda) in freshwater. In: Freshwater animal diversity assessment. 2007; 231–240.

2. Wilson GDF. The phylogenetic position of the Isopoda in the Peracarida (Crustacea: Malacostraca). Arthropod Systematics & Phylogeny. 2009; 67(2), 159– 198.

3. Schmalfuss H. World catalog of terrestrial isopods (Isopoda: Oniscidea). Stuttgar Beiträge zur Naturkunde Serie A. 2003; 654,1–341.

4. Boyko CB, Bruce NL, Hadfield KA, Merrin KL, Ota Y, Poore GCB, et al. (2008 onwards). World Marine, Freshwater and Terrestrial Isopod Crustaceans database. Ligiidae Leach, 1814. Available from: World Register of Marine Species at: http://www.marinespecies.org/aphia.php?p=taxdetails&id=146997 on 2020-09-03

5. Sfenthourakis S, Taiti S. Patterns of taxonomic diversity among terrestrial isopods. ZooKeys. 2015; 515, 13.

6.Dias N, Hassall M. Food, feeding and growth rates of peracarid macro-decomposers in a Ria Formosa salt marsh, southern Portugal. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology. 2005; 325(1), 84–94.

7.Schmalfuss H. Phylogenetics in Oniscidea. Monografia. Monitore zoologico italiano. 1989; 4: 3-27.

8.Schmidt C. Phylogeny of the terrestrial Isopoda (Oniscidea): a review. Arthropod Systematics & Phylogeny. 2008; 66(2), 191-226.

9.Dimitriou AC, Taiti S, Sfenthourakis S. Genetic evidence against monophyly of Oniscidea implies a need to revise scenarios for the origin of terrestrial isopods. Scientific reports. 2019; 9(1), 1–10.

10.Hornung E. Evolutionary adaptation of oniscidean isopods to terrestrial life: structure, physiology and behavior. Terrestrial Arthropod Reviews. 2011; 4(2), 95.

11.Carefoot T, Taylor B. Ligia: a prototypal terrestrial isopod. Crustacean Issues. 1995; 9, 47-60.

12.Wägele JW. Evolution und phylogenetische Systematik der Isopoda. Stand der Forschung und neue Erkenntnisse. 1989; Zoologica 140:1–262.

13. Tabacaru I, Danielopol D. Phylogénie des Isopodes terrestres. Comptes rendus de l'Académie des sciences. Série 3, Sciences de la vie. 1996; 319(1), 71-80.

14.Michel-Salzat A, Bouchon D. Phylogenetic analysis of mitochondrial LSU rRNA in oniscids. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences-Series III-Sciences de la Vie. 2000; 323(9), 827–837.

15.Lins LS, Ho SY, Lo N. An evolutionary timescale for terrestrial isopods and a lack of molecular support for the monophyly of Oniscidea (Crustacea: Isopoda). Organisms Diversity & Evolution. 2017; 17(4), 813–820.

16.Mattern D, Schlegel M. Molecular evolution of the small subunit ribosomal DNA in woodlice (Crustacea, Isopoda, Oniscidea) and implications for oniscidean phylogeny. Molecular phylogenetics and evolution. 2001; 18(1), 54–65.

17.Mattern D. New aspects in the phylogeny of the Oniscidea inferred from molecular data. Crustaceana Monographs. 2003; 223-37.

18.Kilpert F, Held C, Podsiadlowski L. Multiple rearrangements in mitochondrial genomes of Isopoda and phylogenetic implications. Molecular phylogenetics and evolution. 2012; 64(1), 106–117.

19.Wetzer R, Perez-Losada M, Bruce NL. Phylogenetic relationships of the family Sphaeromatidae Latreille, 1825 (Crustacea: Peracarida: Isopoda) within Sphaeromatidea based on 18S-rDNA molecular data. Zootaxa. 2013; 3599(2), 161–177.

20.Shen Y, Kou Q, Zhong Z, Li X, He L, He S, et al. The first complete mitogenome of the South China deep-sea giant isopod *Bathynomus* sp. (Crustacea: Isopoda: Cirolanidae) allows insights into the early mitogenomic evolution of isopods. Ecology and evolution. 2017; 7(6), 1869–1881.

21.Hua CJ, Li WX, Zhang D, Zou H, Li M, Jakovlić I, et al. Basal position of two new complete mitochondrial genomes of parasitic Cymothoida (Crustacea: Isopoda) challenges the monophyly of the suborder and phylogeny of the entire order. Parasites & vectors. 2018; 11(1), 628.

22.Zhang D, Zou H, Hua CJ, Li WX, Mahboob S, Al-Ghanim KA, Al-Misned F, Jakovlić I, Wang GT. Mitochondrial architecture rearrangements produce asymmetrical nonadaptive mutational pressures that subvert the phylogenetic reconstruction in Isopoda. Genome biology and evolution. 2019; 11(7), 1797–1812.

23.Zou H, Jakovlić I, Zhang D, Hua CJ, Chen R, Li WX, et al. Architectural instability, inverted skews and mitochondrial phylogenomics of Isopoda: outgroup choice affects the long-branch attraction artefacts. Royal Society open science. 2020; 7(2), 191887.

24.Dimitriou AC, Taiti S, Schmalfuss H, Sfenthourakis S. A molecular phylogeny of Porcellionidae (Isopoda, Oniscidea) reveals inconsistencies with present taxonomy. ZooKeys. 2018; (801), 163.

25.Kamilari M, Klossa-Kilia E, Kilias G, Sfenthourakis S. Old Aegean palaeoevents driving the diversification of an endemic isopod species (Oniscidea, Trachelipodidae). Zoologica Scripta. 2014; 43(4), 379-392.

26.Song N, Zhang H, Zhao T. Insights into the phylogeny of Hemiptera from increased mitogenomic taxon sampling. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution. 2019; 137, 236-249.

27.Song N, Li XX, Yin XM, Li XH, Xi YQ. The mitochondrial genomes of ladybird beetles and implications for evolution and phylogeny. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules. 2020; 147.

28.Wang JJ, Wu YF, Dai RH, Yang MF. Comparative mitogenomes of six species in the subfamily lassinae (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) and phylogenetic analysis. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules. 2020; 149, 1294-1303.

29.Li XY, Yan LP, Pape T, Gao YY, Zhang D. Evolutionary insights into bot flies (Insecta: Diptera: Oestridae) from comparative analysis of the mitochondrial genomes. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules. 2020; 149.

30.Cameron SL, Lambkin CL, Barker S, Whiting MF. A mitochondrial genome phylogeny of Diptera: whole genome sequence data accurately resolve relationships over broad timescales with high precision. Systematic Entomology. 2010; 32, 40-59.

31.Liu Y, Song F, Jiang P, Wilson JJ, Li H. Compositional heterogeneity in true bug mitochondrial phylogenomics. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution. 2017; 118.

32.Liu Y, Li H, Song F, Zhao Y, Wilson JJ, Cai W. Higher-level phylogeny and evolutionary history of Pentatomomorpha (Hemiptera: Heteroptera) inferred from mitochondrial genome sequences. *Systematic Entomology*. 2019.

33.Li H, Shao R, Song N, Song F, Jiang P, Li Z, et al. Higher-level phylogeny of paraneopteran insects inferred from mitochondrial genome sequences. Rep 2015; 5, 8527.

34.Boore JL. Animal mitochondrial genomes. Nucleic Acids Research. 1999; 27, 1767-1780.

35.Curole JP, Kocher TD. Mitogenomics: digging deeper with complete mitochondrial genomes - ScienceDirect. Trends in Ecology & Evolution. 1999; 14, 394-398.

36.Li H, Leavengood JM, Chapman EG, Burkhardt D, Song F, Jiang P, et al. Mitochondrial phylogenomics of Hemiptera reveals adaptive innovations driving the diversification of true bugs. Proceedings of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences. 2017; 284, 20171223.

37.Castro LR, Austin AD, Dowton M. Contrasting Rates of Mitochondrial Molecular Evolution in Parasitic Diptera and Hymenoptera. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 2002; 19, 1100-1113.

38.Oliveira DCSG, Raychoudhury R, Lavrov DV, Werren JH. Rapidly Evolving Mitochondrial Genome and Directional Selection in Mitochondrial Genes in the Parasitic Wasp *Nasonia* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae). Molecular Biology & Evolution. 2008; 10.

39.Doublet V, Raimond R, Grandjean F, Lafitte A, Souty-Grosset C, Marcadé I. Widespread atypical mitochondrial DNA structure in isopods (Crustacea, Peracarida) related to a constitutive heteroplasmy in terrestrial species. Genome. 2012; 55(3), 234–244.

40.Chandler CH, Badawi M, Moumen B, Grève P, Cordaux R. Multiple conserved heteroplasmic sites in tRNA genes in the mitochondrial genomes of terrestrial isopods (Oniscidea). G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics. 2015; 5(7), 1317–1322.

41.Bernt M, Donath A, Jühling F, Externbrink F, Florentz C, Fritzsch G, et al. MITOS: improved de novo metazoan mitochondrial genome annotation. Molecular phylogenetics and evolution. 2013; 69(2), 313–319.

42.Lowe TM, Eddy SR. tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. Nucleic acids research. 1997; 25(5), 955–964.

43.Laslett D, Canbäck B. ARWEN: a program to detect tRNA genes in metazoan mitochondrial nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics. 2008; 24(2), 172–175.

44.Katoh K, Toh H. Improved accuracy of multiple ncRNA alignment by incorporating structural information into a MAFFT-based framework. BMC bioinformatics. 2008; 9(1), 212.

45.Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Molecular biology and evolution. 2013; 30(4), 772–780.

46.Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T. Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. 2010 gateway computing environments workshop (GCE), 2010; 1–8. leee.

47.Castresana J. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. Molecular biology and evolution. 2000; 17(4), 540–552.

48.Talavera G, Castresana J. Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent and ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. Systematic biology. 2007; 56(4), 564–577.

49.Lanfear R, Calcott B, Ho SY, Guindon S. PartitionFinder: combined selection of partitioning schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analyses. Molecular biology and evolution. 2012; 29(6), 1695–1701. 50.Ronquist F, Teslenko M, Van Der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, S, Larget B, et al. MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Systematic biology. 2012; 61(3), 539–542.

51.Zou H, Jakovlić I, Zhang D, Chen R, Mahboob S, Al-Ghanim KA, et al. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Cymothoa indica* has a highly rearranged gene order and clusters at the very base of the Isopoda clade. PLoS one. 2018; 13(9), e0203089.

52.Philippe H, Brinkmann H, Lavrov DV, Littlewood DTJ, Manuel M, Wörheide G, et al. Resolving difficult phylogenetic questions: why more sequences are not enough. PLoS Biol. 2011; 9(3), e1000602.

53.Schmalfuss H. The terrestrial isopod genus *Schizidium* (Isopoda: Oniscidea): systematics, distribution, morphology. Stuttgarter Beiträge zur Naturkunde A (New Series). 2008; 1143–151.

54.Lins LS, Ho SY, Wilson GDF, Lo N. Evidence for Permo-Triassic colonization of the deep sea by isopods. Biology Letters. 2012; 8(6), 979–982.

55. Hurtado LA, Mateos M, Wang C, Santamaria CA, Jung J, Khalaji-Pirbalouty V, et al. Out of Asia: mitochondrial evolutionary history of the globally introduced supralittoral isopod *Ligia exotica*. PeerJ. 2018; 6e4337.

56.Brusca R. A phylogenetic analysis of the Isopoda with some classificatory recommendations. Memoirs of the Queensland Museum. 1991; 31, 143–204.

57.Erhard F. Das pleonale Skelet-Muskel-System von *Titanethes albus* (Synocheta) und weiterer Taxa der Oniscidea (Isopoda): mit Schlussfolgerungen zur Phylogenie der Landasseln. Stuttgarter Beiträge zur Naturkunde A. 1997; 550:1–77.

58.Doublet V, Ubrig E, Alioua A, Bouchon D, Marcadé I, Maréchal-Drouard L. Large gene overlaps and tRNA processing in the compact mitochondrial genome of the crustacean *Armadillidium vulgare*. RNA biology. 2015; 12(10), 1159–1168.

59.Schmidt C, Leistikow A. Catalogue of genera of the terrestrial Isopoda (Crustacea: Isopoda: Oniscidea). Steenstrupia. 2004; 28(1), 1–118.